

without prejudice.

### **Record of Interview**

Applicants' attorney expresses appreciation for courtesy extended by Examiner Diaz in a telephone interview on 1 March 2005. At that time, it was determined that claims 31-35 should be canceled without prejudice. No discussion or agreement was reached with respect to the claims of Group I remaining in the case.

### **Conclusion**

Applicant urge that the above amendment be entered and the case passed to issue with claims 10, 11, and 20.


The Application is believed to be in condition for allowance and such action by the Examiner is urged. Should differences remain, however, which do not place one/more of the remaining claims in condition for allowance, the Examiner is requested to phone the undersigned at the number provided below for the purpose of providing constructive .

assistance and suggestions in accordance with M.P.E.P.  
Sections 707.02(j) and 707.03 in order that allowable claims  
can be presented, thereby placing the Application in  
condition for allowance without further proceedings being  
necessary.

Sincerely,

R. F. BARNARD, ET AL.

By

  
Shelley M. Beckstrand  
Reg. No. 24,886

Date: 1 March 2005

Shelley M Beckstrand, P.C.  
Attorney at Law  
61 Glenmont Road  
Woodlawn, VA 24381

Phone: (276) 238-1972